

Published 1998

Concepts and Breeding of Heterosis in Crop Plants

Related Society Publications

Conservation of Crop Germplasm—An International Perspective

Genetic Contributions to Yield Gains of Five Major Crop Plants

International Germplasm Transfer: Past and Present

Use of Plant Introductions in Cultivar Development: Part 1

Use of Plant Introductions in Cultivar Development: Part 2

For information on these titles, please contact the ASA, CSSA, SSSA Headquarters Office; Attn.: Marketing; 677 South Segoe Road; Madison, WI 53711-1086. Phone: (608) 273-8080. Fax: (608) 273-2021.

Concepts and Breeding of Heterosis in Crop Plants

Proceedings of the Plant Breeding Symposium sponsored by the Crop Science Society of America and the American Society of Horticultural Science, 3 November 1996, in Indianapolis, Indiana.

Editors

Kendall R. Lamkey and Jack E. Staub

Organizing Committee

Kendall R. Lamkey, *Co-chair, Crop Science Society of America*
Jack E. Staub, *Co-chair, American Society of Horticultural Science*
Paula J. Brammel-Cox
John W. Dudley
James Nienhuis
Roger E. Freeman

Editor-in-Chief CSSA

Jeffrey J. Volenec

Managing Editor

David M. Kral

Associate Editor

Marian K. Viney

CSSA Special Publication Number 25

Crop Science Society of America
Madison, Wisconsin

1998

Cover design by Patricia Scullion; photograph provided by Dwight Tomes

Copyright © 1998 by the Crop Science Society of America, Inc.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED UNDER THE U.S. COPYRIGHT ACT OF 1976 (PL. 94-553).

Any and all uses beyond the limitations of the “fair use” provision of the law require written permission from the publisher(s) and/or the author(s); not applicable to contributions prepared by officers or employees of the U.S. Government as part of their official duties.

Crop Science Society of America, Inc.
677 South Segoe Road, Madison, WI 53711 USA

Library of Congress Registration Number: 98-71578

Printed in the United States of America

CONTENTS

Foreword	vii
Preface	ix
Contributors	xi
Conversion Factors for SI and non-SI units	xiii
1 From Out of Old Fields Comes All This New Corn: An Historical Perspective on Heterosis in Plant Improvement I.L. Goldman	1
2 Heterosis: Performance Stability, Adaptability to Changing Technology, and the Foundation of Agriculture as a Business Dwight T. Tomes	13
3 Overview of Heterosis and Heterotic Groups in Agronomic Crops Albrecht E. Melchinger and Ramesh K. Gumber	29
4 Hybrids in Horticultural Crops Jules Janick	45
5 Apparent Overdominance in Natural Plant Populations Jeffrey B. Mitton	57
6 Role of Chromosome Blocks in Heterosis and Estimates of Dominance and Overdominance Edwin T. Bingham	71
7 Inference of Heterosis and Epistasis in Transposon Tagged <i>Drosophila</i> Andrew G. Clark	89
8 Avoiding Project Bankruptcy While Effectively Employing Markers Tom Blake, Steve Larson, Joy Eckhoff, and Vladimir Kanazin	99
9 Molecular Analyses and Heterosis in the Vegetables: Can We Breed Them Like Maize? Michael J. Havey	109
10 Predicting the Performance of Untested Single Crosses: Trait and Marker Data Rex Bernardo	117

FOREWORD

Heterosis (or hybrid vigor) has been a major factor in the increased production of several important plant species during this century; however, the most dramatic and well-publicized impact on yield and other performance characteristics has probably occurred in maize. From 1933 to 1943 the increased yield of hybrid maize over the traditional open-populated varieties was so evident that approximately 90% of all farmers in the Midwestern portion of the USA switched to planting commercial hybrids.

Two very significant results occurred from the development of hybrid maize in the USA. First was the development of a far superior product for farmers. Second was the rapid increase in the number of researchers working in the private sector to develop improved maize varieties. Prior to 1930, most of the professional researchers, which were few in number, were employed by the public sector. Today, more than 500 researchers in the USA work on maize breeding, and more than 90% of them are employed in the private sector. There is little doubt that the exploitation of heterosis and the growth in number of researchers working on maize were major factors contributing to the increase in average yield in the USA from 1.5 Mg ha⁻¹ to more than 7 Mg ha⁻¹ during the period 1930 to 1990.

The alarming rate at which the world population continues to grow demands that major cereal production will have to increase by 30 to 40% over current production by the year 2020. One area of research that could prove to be valuable in achieving this increase is the exploitation of heterosis and the development of commercial hybrids in wheat and rice, the two major food cereals. Hybrid rice is now a viable commercial product in countries such as China and India that rely on this plant as their major food cereal. Area devoted to hybrid rice is growing rapidly in each of these countries.

In light of the pressing problem of producing ever-increasing amounts of food on less arable land, this publication on the history and current knowledge of heterosis is most timely. The Crop Science Society of America appreciates very much the hard work of K.R. Lamkey and J.E. Staub in performing the many time-consuming duties associated with editing this book.

Ronald P. Cantrell, *President, 1998*
Crop Science Society of America

PREFACE

The Joint Plant Breeding Symposia Series is sponsored by the Crop Science Society of America (CSSA) and the American Society of Horticultural Science (ASHS) to provide information and increase the awareness of plant breeders and plant geneticists in important areas of applied genetics. The symposia is held every other year and alternately hosted by the two societies. Concepts and breeding of heterosis in Crop Plants, the third joint plant breeding symposia, was held on Sunday, 3 November 1996 in Indianapolis at the ASA–CSSA–SSSA national meetings. The topic of heterosis grew out of discussions between committee members who had organized the previous symposia held in 1994 and members of the Vegetable Breeders Working Group, ASHS. They felt there was a need to bring together agronomic and horticultural scientists to discuss issues related to heterosis and its application to crop species.

The resulting one-day symposium was organized around three general themes: history and perspective, mechanisms, and detection and exploitation. The intent of the history section was to provide a historical overview of heterosis, its role in business, and to present a summary of the utility of heterosis in agronomic and horticultural crops. The intent of the mechanisms of heterosis section was to examine the underlying genetics and biology of heterosis. Likewise, the intent of the detection and exploitation of heterosis section was to examine how heterosis can be manipulated and utilized in practice.

Heterosis dominated the thinking of plant and animal geneticists in the 1940s and 1950s as evidenced by the now classic book entitled *Heterosis* edited by John W. Gowen and published by Iowa State University Press. In fact, the entire U.S. hybrid maize industry and much of the world maize industry is founded on heterosis. Despite the importance of heterosis in maize and the plethora of research done on heterosis, little is known empirically about the underlying genetic and related physiological basis of heterosis. In fact, the biological basis of heterosis is considered by some scientists to be inadequately defined. The chasm of unanswered questions regarding heterosis is undoubtedly why it still dominates the thinking of many scientists and was the impetus for the manuscripts published in this volume.

Although heterosis and hybrid vigor are often used synonymously, heterosis and hybrids are not necessarily synonymous. To have heterosis you need hybrids, but producing hybrids does not guarantee heterosis. The development of hybrids is being actively pursued in many agronomic and horticultural crops, but exploitation of heterosis is often not the primary reason. For instance, many horticultural crop species fail to demonstrate inbreeding depression and/or heterosis. Although the production of hybrids from inbred lines allows one to fix heterosis, hybrids also provide many other advantages in a crop production system. For instance, hybrids provide uniformity, require farmers to purchase new seed each year, and create a vehicle for the protection of intellectual property.

One issue that emerged from this symposium is that heterosis can be difficult to measure and define, particularly in crops where there are multiple harvest dates and related quality issues. Moreover, the importance of yield and quality

can differ among crop species. The information found herein should give breeders, geneticists, and biologists additional insights into the complexity of heterosis. It is clear from the symposium contents that old questions regarding heterosis and its relative importance resurfaced, and new questions were defined in the light of emerging technologies.

Kendall R. Lamkey and Jack E. Staub

CONTRIBUTORS

- Rex Bernardo** Research Scientist, Limagrain Genetics, 4805 West Old Church Road, Champaign, IL 61821. Currently Assistant Professor of Maize Genetics and Breeding, Department of Agronomy, 1150 Lilly Hall of Life Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907-1150
- Edwin T. Bingham** Professor of Agronomy, Agronomy Department, University of Wisconsin, 1575 Linden Drive, Madison, WI 53706
- Tom Blake** Professor, Barley Breeding and Genetics, Department of Plant Science, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT 59717
- Andrew G. Clark** Professor of Biology, Department of Biology, 208 Mueller Laboratory, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802
- Joy Eckhoff** Associate Professor of Agronomy, Eastern Agricultural Research Center, Montana Agricultural Experiment Station, P.O. Box 1350, Sidney, MT 59270
- I.L. Goldman** Associate Professor of Horticulture, Department of Horticulture, University of Wisconsin, 1575 Linden Drive, Madison, WI 53706
- Ramesh K. Gumber** Research Scientist, 350 Institute of Plant Breeding, Seed Science, and Population Genetics, University of Hohenheim, D-70593 Stuttgart, Germany
- Michael J. Havey** USDA Research Geneticist and Associate Professor of Horticulture, USDA-ARS, Department of Horticulture, University of Wisconsin, 1575 Linden Drive, Madison, WI 53706
- Jules Janick** Professor of Horticulture, Department of Horticulture, 1165 Horticulture Building, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 49071-1165
- Vladimir Kanazin** Research Associate, Department of Plant Science, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT 59717
- Steve Larson** Research Associate, USDA-ARS, National Small Grains Germplasm Collection, Box 307, Aberdeen, Idaho 83210
- Albrecht E. Melchinger** Professor of Plant Breeding, 350 Institute of Plant Breeding, Seed Science, and Population Genetics, University of Hohenheim, D-70593 Stuttgart, Germany
- Jeffrey B. Mitton** Professor of Biology, Department of Environmental, Population, and Organismic Biology, University of Colorado, Campus Box 334, Boulder, CO 80309-0334
- Dwight T. Tomes** Senior Scientist, Department of Biotechnology, Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc., 7300 NW 62nd Avenue, P.O. Box 1004, Johnston, IA 50131-1004

Conversion Factors for SI and non-SI Units

Conversion Factors for SI and non-SI Units

To convert Column 1 into Column 2, multiply by	Column 1 SI Unit	Column 2 non-SI Units	To convert Column 2 into Column 1, multiply by
	Length		
0.621	kilometer, km (10^3 m)	mile, mi	1.609
1.094	meter, m	yard, yd	0.914
3.28	meter, m	foot, ft	0.304
1.0	micrometer, μ m (10^{-6} m)	micron, μ	1.0
3.94×10^{-2}	millimeter, mm (10^{-3} m)	inch, in	25.4
10	nanometer, nm (10^{-9} m)	Angstrom, Å	0.1
	Area		
2.47	hectare, ha	acre	0.405
247	square kilometer, km ² (10^3 m) ²	acre	4.05×10^{-3}
0.386	square kilometer, km ² (10^3 m) ²	square mile, mi ²	2.590
2.47×10^{-4}	square meter, m ²	acre	4.05×10^3
10.76	square meter, m ²	square foot, ft ²	9.29×10^{-2}
1.55×10^{-3}	square millimeter, mm ² (10^{-3} m) ²	square inch, in ²	645
	Volume		
9.73×10^{-3}	cubic meter, m ³	acre-inch	102.8
35.3	cubic meter, m ³	cubic foot, ft ³	2.83×10^{-2}
6.10×10^4	cubic meter, m ³	cubic inch, in ³	1.64×10^{-5}
2.84×10^{-2}	liter, L (10^{-3} m ³)	bushel, bu	35.24
1.057	liter, L (10^{-3} m ³)	quart (liquid), qt	0.946
3.53×10^{-2}	liter, L (10^{-3} m ³)	cubic foot, ft ³	28.3
0.265	liter, L (10^{-3} m ³)	gallon	3.78
33.78	liter, L (10^{-3} m ³)	ounce (fluid), oz	2.96×10^{-2}
2.11	liter, L (10^{-3} m ³)	pint (fluid), pt	0.473

Mass

2.20 × 10 ⁻³	gram, g (10 ⁻³ kg)	454	pound, lb
3.52 × 10 ⁻²	gram, g (10 ⁻³ kg)	28.4	ounce (avdp), oz
2.205	kilogram, kg	0.454	pound, lb
0.01	kilogram, kg	100	quintal (metric), q
1.10 × 10 ⁻³	kilogram, kg	907	ton (2000 lb), ton
1.102	megagram, Mg (tonne)	0.907	ton (U.S.), ton
1.102	tonne, t	0.907	ton (U.S.), ton

Yield and Rate

0.893	kilogram per hectare, kg ha ⁻¹	1.12	pound per acre, lb acre ⁻¹
7.77 × 10 ⁻²	kilogram per cubic meter, kg m ⁻³	12.87	pound per bushel, lb bu ⁻¹
1.49 × 10 ⁻²	kilogram per hectare, kg ha ⁻¹	67.19	bushel per acre, 60 lb
1.59 × 10 ⁻²	kilogram per hectare, kg ha ⁻¹	62.71	bushel per acre, 56 lb
1.86 × 10 ⁻²	kilogram per hectare, kg ha ⁻¹	53.75	bushel per acre, 48 lb
0.107	liter per hectare, L ha ⁻¹	9.35	gallon per acre
893	tonnes per hectare, t ha ⁻¹	1.12 × 10 ⁻³	pound per acre, lb acre ⁻¹
893	megagram per hectare, Mg ha ⁻¹	1.12 × 10 ⁻³	pound per acre, lb acre ⁻¹
0.446	megagram per hectare, Mg ha ⁻¹	2.24	ton (2000 lb) per acre, ton acre ⁻¹
2.24	meter per second, m s ⁻¹	0.447	mile per hour

Specific Surface

10	square meter per kilogram, m ² kg ⁻¹	0.1	square centimeter per gram, cm ² g ⁻¹
1000	square meter per kilogram, m ² kg ⁻¹	0.001	square millimeter per gram, mm ² g ⁻¹

Pressure

9.90	megapascal, MPa (10 ⁶ Pa)	0.101	atmosphere
10	megapascal, MPa (10 ⁶ Pa)	0.1	bar
1.00	megagram, per cubic meter, Mg m ⁻³	1.00	gram per cubic centimeter, g cm ⁻³
2.09 × 10 ⁻²	pascal, Pa	47.9	pound per square foot, lb ft ⁻²
1.45 × 10 ⁻⁴	pascal, Pa	6.90 × 10 ³	pound per square inch, lb in ⁻²

(continued on next page)

Conversion Factors for SI and non-SI Units

To convert Column 1 into Column 2, multiply by	Column 1 SI Unit	Column 2 non-SI Units	To convert Column 2 into Column 1, multiply by
	Temperature		
	Kelvin, K	Celsius, °C	1.00 (°C + 273)
	Celsius, °C	Fahrenheit, °F	5/9 (°F - 32)
	Energy, Work, Quantity of Heat		
	joule, J	British thermal unit, Btu	1.05 × 10 ³
	joule, J	calorie, cal	4.19
	joule, J	erg	10 ⁷
	joule, J	foot-pound	1.36
	joule per square meter, J m ²	calorie per square centimeter (langley)	4.19 × 10 ⁴
	newton, N	dyne	10 ⁵
	watt per square meter, W m ²	calorie per square centimeter minute (irradiance), cal cm ⁻² min ⁻¹	698
	Transpiration and Photosynthesis		
	milligram per square meter second, mg m ⁻² s ⁻¹	gram per square decimeter hour, g dm ⁻² h ⁻¹	27.8
	milligram (H ₂ O) per square meter second, mg m ⁻² s ⁻¹	micromole (H ₂ O) per square centi- meter second, μmol cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	180
	milligram per square meter second, mg m ⁻² s ⁻¹	milligram per square centimeter second, mg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	10 ⁴
	milligram per square meter second, mg m ⁻² s ⁻¹	milligram per square decimeter hour, mg dm ⁻² h ⁻¹	2.78 × 10 ²
	Plane Angle		
	radian, rad	degrees (angle), °	1.75 × 10 ²

Electrical Conductivity, Electricity, and Magnetism

10	siemen per meter, S m ⁻¹	millimho per centimeter, mmho cm ⁻¹	0.1
10 ⁴	tesla, T	gauss, G	10 ⁻⁴

Water Measurement

9.73 × 10 ⁻³	cubic meter, m ³	acre-inches, acre-in	102.8
9.81 × 10 ⁻³	cubic meter per hour, m ³ h ⁻¹	cubic feet per second, ft ³ s ⁻¹	101.9
4.40	cubic meter per hour, m ³ h ⁻¹	U.S. gallons per minute, gal min ⁻¹	0.227
8.11	hectare-meters, ha-m	acre-feet, acre-ft	0.123
97.28	hectare-meters, ha-m	acre-inches, acre-in	1.03 × 10 ⁻²
8.1 × 10 ⁻²	hectare-centimeters, ha-cm	acre-feet, acre-ft	12.33

Concentrations

1	centimole per kilogram, cmol kg ⁻¹	milliequivalents per 100 grams, meq 100 g ⁻¹	1
0.1	gram per kilogram, g kg ⁻¹	percent, %	10
1	milligram per kilogram, mg kg ⁻¹	parts per million, ppm	1

Radioactivity

2.7 × 10 ⁻¹¹	becquerel, Bq	curie, Ci	3.7 × 10 ¹⁰
2.7 × 10 ⁻²	becquerel per kilogram, Bq kg ⁻¹	pico curie per gram, pCi g ⁻¹	37
100	gray, Gy (absorbed dose)	rad, rd	0.01
100	sievert, Sv (equivalent dose)	rem (roentgen equivalent man)	0.01

Plant Nutrient Conversion

2.29	<i>Elemental</i>	<i>Oxide</i>	
1.20	P	P ₂ O ₅	0.437
1.39	K	K ₂ O	0.830
1.66	Ca	CaO	0.715
	Mg	MgO	0.602