Published 1994

Whole Regolith Pedology

Whole Regolith Pedology

Proceedings of a symposium cosponsored by Committee S880, Divisions S-5 and S-9 of the Soil Science Society of America and the Clay Minerals Society in Minneapolis, Minnesota, 3 Nov. 1992.

Editors
David L. Cremeens, Randall B. Brown, and J. Herbert Huddleston

SSSA Special Publication Number 34

Soil Science Society of America, Inc.
Madison, Wisconsin, USA

1994

Cover photography supplied by Dr. D.L. Cremeens shows thick loess deposits on Crowley's Ridge near Forrest City, Arkansas. This east-facing view was taken by Dr. Cremeens while enroute to the 1985 South-Central Cell Friends of the Pleistocene field trip.

Soil Science Society of America, Inc.
677 South Segoe Road, Madison, WI 53711 USA

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Whole regolith pedology : proceedings of a symposium sponsored by Committee S880, Divisions S-5 and S-9 of the Soil Science Society of America and the Clay Minerals Society in Minneapolis, Minnesota, 3 Nov. 1992 / editors, David L. Cremeens, Randall B. Brown, and J. Herbert Huddleston ... [et al.].
p. cm. —— (SSSA special publication; no. 34)
ISBN 0-89118-805-3
1. Saprolites—Congresses. 2. Soil formation—Congresses. I. Cremeens, David L. II. Brown, R.B. (Randall Barber), III. Huddleston, J.H. (James Herbert). 1954- . IV. Soil Science Society of America. Committee S880. V. Soil Science Society of America. Division S-5. VI.Soil Science Society of America. Division S-9. VII. Clay Minerals Society. VIII. Series.
QE496.W48 1994
552'.5—dc20 93-47859
CIP

Printed in the United States of America

CONTENTS

FOREWORD

Regolith materials are normally considered by soil scientists to be the rocks and minerals underlying the solum. Pedologists have concentrated their soil genesis and classification studies on the soil profile and largely ignored the regolith. Other soil scientists have not studied the regolith because these materials are thought to have limited impacts on most soil properties and plant growth.

Recent concern about the transport of water and contaminants from the soil surface through the vadose zone to the aquifer have stimulated considerable interest in the properties of regolith material. Additional interest in regolith materials arises from the use of soil and associated materials for engineering purposes. Based on these needs for information, pedologists have initiated studies that deal with regolith materials in association with the solum.

Several divisions of SSSA and the Clay Minerals Society cosponsored a symposium entitled Whole Regolith Pedology at the 1992 annual meeting of the Tri-Societies. This publication resulting from the symposium presents the latest concepts and research findings cocerning the importance of regolith materials in pedology.

DARRELL W. NELSON
President
Soil Science Society of America

PREFACE

Traditionally, in pedology the focus has been on the soil survey and the information requirements of the soil survey. Soil genesis studies have often been used to develop predictive models to aid the soil survey effort, and to supply information for use in the development of management strategies for the mapped soils. In the alst decade site-specific engineering and environmental problems have necessitated a better understanding of earth surface materials at depths exceeding the traditional limits of soil survey investigations. Regolith materials at these depths are of great importance to water quality and other environmental issues. Many of the requirements for information at these sites have been regulatory driven.

The pedological community has recognized the need for more extensive information on regolith materials for years, especially in our liaisons with Quaternary geologists and engineers. Informal gatherings of groups, such as the Friends of the Pleistocene, have been successful at fostering dialogue between scientists and in focusing attention on specific problems. However, pedologists have been somewhat slow in providing leadership in the form of developing diagnostic concepts to meet the need for a better understanding of regolith materials.

The Whole-Regolith Pedology symposium was held at the 1992 meetings of the Soil Science Society of America. The symposium came about as a collaboration of Committee S880, Soil Geomorphology; Division S5, Soil Genesis, Morphology, and Classification; Division S9, Soil Mineralogy; and the Clay Minerals Society. The objective of the symposium was to foster communication of concepts in the characterization, delineation, and management of regolith materials. Invited and volunteered papers were presented from workers throughout the USA. The goal of this publication is to present this work as a foundation and a guide to future investigations into the nature of earth surface materials.

DAVID L. CREMEENS, *Chair,*
GAI Consultants, Inc.,
Monroeville, Pennsylvania

RANDALL B. BROWN
University of Florida,
Gainesville, Florida

J. HERBERT HUDDLESTON
Oregon State University,
Corvallis, Oregon

CONTRIBUTORS

James C. Baker	Associate Professor, Department of Crop and Soil Environmental Sciences, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24061-0409
Julie Brigham-Grette	Associate Professor, Department of Geology and Geography, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003-5820
R. B. Brown	Professor and Extension Specialist, Soil Science Department, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611
S. W. Buol	William Neal Reynolds Professor of Soil Science, Department of SOil Science, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-7619
N. B. Comerford	Professor, Department of Soil and Water Science, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611
D. L. Cremeens	Staff Soil Scientist, GAI Consultants, Inc., Monroeville, PA 15146
Robert G. Darmody	Associate Professor, Department of Agronomy, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801
Leon R. Follmer	Paleopedologist, Illinois State Geological Survey, Champaign, IL 61820
R. C. Graham	Associate Professor of Soil Mineralogy, Department of Soil and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521-0424
William R. Guertal	Hydrologist, Foothill Engineering, Inc., Mercury, NV 89023
J. H. Huddleston	Extension Soil Scientist, Department of Crop and Soil Science, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331
David L. Lindbo	Soil Scientist, USDA-ARS National Sedimentation Laboratory, Oxford, MS 38655
L. E. Moody	Graduate Research Assistant, Department of Soil and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521
Mark H. Stolt	Senior Research Associate, Department of Crop and Soil Environmental Sciences, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24061-0404
Earl L. Stone	Adjunct Professor, Department of Soil and Water Science, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611
John P. Tandarich	Soil Scientist, Hey and Associates, Inc., Chicago, IL 60604
K. R. Tice	Staff Research Associate, Department of Soil and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521
Peter L. M. Veneman	Professor, Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003

Conversion Factors for SI and non-SI Units

Conversion Factors for SI and non-SI Units

To convert Column 1 into Column 2, multiply by	Column 1 SI Unit	Column 2 non-SI Unit	To convert Column 2 into Column 1, multiply by
		Length	
0.621	kilometer, km (10^3 m)	mile, mi	1.609
1.094	meter, m	yard, yd	0.914
3.28	meter, m	foot, ft	0.304
1.0	micrometer, μm (10^{-6} m)	micron, μ	1.0
3.94×10^{-2}	millimeter, mm (10^{-3} m)	inch, in	25.4
10	nanometer, nm (10^{-9} m)	Angstrom, Å	0.1
		Area	
2.47	hectare, ha	acre	0.405
247	square kilometer, km^2 (10^3 m)^2	acre	4.05×10^{-3}
0.386	square kilometer, km^2 (10^3 m)^2	square mile, mi^2	2.590
2.47×10^{-4}	square meter, m^2	acre	4.05×10^3
10.76	square meter, m^2	square foot, ft^2	9.29×10^{-2}
1.55×10^{-3}	square millimeter, mm^2 (10^{-3} m)^2	square inch, in^2	645
		Volume	
9.73×10^{-3}	cubic meter, m^3	acre-inch	102.8
35.3	cubic meter, m^3	cubic foot, ft^3	2.83×10^{-2}
6.10×10^4	cubic meter, m^3	cubic inch, in^3	1.64×10^{-5}
2.84×10^{-2}	liter, L (10^{-3} m^3)	bushel, bu	35.24
1.057	liter, L (10^{-3} m^3)	quart (liquid), qt	0.946
3.53×10^{-2}	liter, L (10^{-3} m^3)	cubic foot, ft^3	28.3
0.265	liter, L (10^{-3} m^3)	gallon	3.78
33.78	liter, L (10^{-3} m^3)	ounce (fluid), oz	2.96×10^{-2}
2.11	liter, L (10^{-3} m^3)	pint (fluid), pt	0.473

Mass

2.20×10^{-3}	gram, g (10^{-3} kg)	pound, lb	454
3.52×10^{-2}	gram, g (10^{-3} kg)	ounce (avdp), oz	28.4
2.205	kilogram, kg	pound, lb	0.454
0.01	kilogram, kg	quintal (metric), q	100
1.10×10^{-3}	kilogram, kg	ton (2000 lb), ton	907
1.102	megagram, Mg (tonne)	ton (U.S.), ton	0.907
1.102	tonne, t	ton (U.S.), ton	0.907

Yield and Rate

0.893	kilogram per hectare, kg ha^{-1}	pound per acre, lb $acre^{-1}$	1.12
7.77×10^{-2}	kilogram per cubic meter, kg m^{-3}	pound per bushel, lb bu^{-1}	12.87
1.49×10^{-2}	kilogram per hectare, kg ha^{-1}	bushel per acre, 60 lb	67.19
1.59×10^{-2}	kilogram per hectare, kg ha^{-1}	bushel per acre, 56 lb	62.71
1.86×10^{-2}	kilogram per hectare, kg ha^{-1}	bushel per acre, 48 lb	53.75
0.107	liter per hectare, L ha^{-1}	gallon per acre	9.35
893	tonnes per hectare, t ha^{-1}	pound per acre, lb $acre^{-1}$	1.12×10^{-3}
893	megagram per hectare, Mg ha^{-1}	pound per acre, lb $acre^{-1}$	1.12×10^{-3}
0.446	megagram per hectare, Mg ha^{-1}	ton (2000 lb) per acre, ton $acre^{-1}$	2.24
2.24	meter per second, m s^{-1}	mile per hour	0.447

Specific Surface

10	square meter per kilogram, m^2 kg^{-1}	square centimeter per gram, cm^2 g^{-1}	0.1
1000	square meter per kilogram, m^2 kg^{-1}	square millimeter per gram, mm^2 g^{-1}	0.001

Pressure

9.90	megapascal, MPa (10^6 Pa)	atmosphere	0.101
10	megapascal, MPa (10^6 Pa)	bar	0.1
1.00	megagram per cubic meter, Mg m^{-3}	gram per cubic centimeter, g cm^{-3}	1.00
2.09×10^{-2}	pascal, Pa	pound per square foot, lb ft^{-2}	47.9
1.45×10^{-4}	pascal, Pa	pound per square inch, lb in^{-2}	6.90×10^3

(continued on next page)

Conversion Factors for SI and non-SI Units

To convert Column 1 into Column 2, multiply by	Column 1 SI Unit	Column 2 non-SI Unit	To convert Column 2 into Column 1, multiply by
		Temperature	
1.00 (K − 273)	Kelvin, K	Celsius, °C	1.00 (°C + 273)
(9/5 °C) + 32	Celsius, °C	Fahrenheit, °F	5/9 (°F − 32)
		Energy, Work, Quantity of Heat	
9.52×10^{-4}	joule, J	British thermal unit, Btu	1.05×10^{3}
0.239	joule, J	calorie, cal	4.19
10^{7}	joule, J	erg	10^{-7}
0.735	joule, J	foot-pound	1.36
2.387×10^{-5}	joule per square meter, J m^{-2}	calorie per square centimeter (langley)	4.19×10^{4}
10^{5}	newton, N	dyne	10^{-5}
1.43×10^{-3}	watt per square meter, W m^{-2}	calorie per square centimeter minute (irradiance), cal cm^{-2} min^{-1}	698
		Transpiration and Photosynthesis	
3.60×10^{-2}	milligram per square meter second, mg m^{-2} s^{-1}	gram per square decimeter hour, g dm^{-2} h^{-1}	27.8
5.56×10^{-3}	milligram (H_2O) per square meter second, mg m^{-2} s^{-1}	micromole (H_2O) per square centimeter second, μmol cm^{-2} s^{-1}	180
10^{-4}	milligram per square meter second, mg m^{-2} s^{-1}	milligram per square centimeter second, mg cm^{-2} s^{-1}	10^{4}
35.97	milligram per square meter second, mg m^{-2} s^{-1}	milligram per square decimeter hour, mg dm^{-2} h^{-1}	2.78×10^{-2}
		Plane Angle	
57.3	radian, rad	degrees (angle), °	1.75×10^{-2}

Electrical Conductivity, Electricity, and Magnetism

10	siemen per meter, S m^{-1}	millimho per centimeter, mmho cm^{-1}	0.1
10^4	tesla, T	gauss, G	10^{-4}

Water Measurement

9.73×10^{-3}	cubic meter, m^3	acre-inches, acre-in	102.8
9.81×10^{-3}	cubic meter per hour, $m^3\ h^{-1}$	cubic feet per second, $ft^3\ s^{-1}$	101.9
4.40	cubic meter per hour, $m^3\ h^{-1}$	U.S. gallons per minute, gal min^{-1}	0.227
8.11	hectare-meters, ha-m	acre-feet, acre-ft	0.123
97.28	hectare-meters, ha-m	acre-inches, acre-in	1.03×10^{-2}
8.1×10^{-2}	hectare-centimeters, ha-cm	acre-feet, acre-ft	12.33

Concentrations

1	centimole per kilogram, cmol kg^{-1} (ion exchange capacity)	milliequivalents per 100 grams, meq 100 g^{-1}	1
0.1	gram per kilogram, g kg^{-1}	percent, %	10
1	milligram per kilogram, mg kg^{-1}	parts per million, ppm	1

Radioactivity

2.7×10^{-11}	becquerel, Bq	curie, Ci	3.7×10^{10}
2.7×10^{-2}	becquerel per kilogram, Bq kg^{-1}	picocurie per gram, pCi g^{-1}	37
100	gray, Gy (absorbed dose)	rad, rd	0.01
100	sievert, Sv (equivalent dose)	rem (roentgen equivalent man)	0.01

Plant Nutrient Conversion

	Elemental	*Oxide*	
2.29	P	P_2O_5	0.437
1.20	K	K_2O	0.830
1.39	Ca	CaO	0.715
1.66	Mg	MgO	0.602