on dry-weight basis were 39.6 and 22.9%, respectively, for R01-416F and 39.9% and 22.2%, respectively, for R01-581F.

U.S. Plant Variety Protection will not be pursued for R01-416F and R01-581F soybean germplasm lines. Seeds of R01-416F and R01-581F are available from the Soybean Breeding and Genetics Program at the University of Arkansas, 115 Plant Science Building, Fayetteville, AR 72701. Small quantities of seeds will be available for research purposes and cultivar development from the corresponding author. It is requested that appropriate recognition be made if these germplasm lines contribute to the development of a new germplasm line or cultivar. Seeds of R01-416F and R01-581F will also be deposited in the USDA Soybean Germplasm Collection.
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Registration of CN927-202, CN926-11-3-22, and CN921-306 Sugarbeet Cyst Nematode Resistant Sugarbeet Lines

R. T. Lewellen*

Sugarbeet (Beta vulgaris L.) breeding lines CN927-202 (Reg. No. GP-260, PI 640420), CN926-11-3-22 (Reg. No. GP-261, PI 640421), and CN921-306 (Reg. No. GP-262, PI 640422) are partially inbred lines that appear to have moderate to high resistance to sugarbeet cyst nematode (SBCN) (Heterodera schachtii Schmidt). These lines were developed by the USDA-ARS in cooperation with the Beet Sugar Development Foundation (BSDF) and the California Beet Growers Association. They were released in 2006. For these lines, the source of resistance appears to be B. vulgaris L. subsp. maritima (L.) Arcang germplasm from composite cross C50 (PI 564243) (Lewellen and Whitney, 1993) through C51 (PI 593694) (Lewellen, 2000). Because C51 was developed from a composite of about 60 accessions of B. vulgaris subsp. maritima, it is not known what the wild beet source of resistance to SBCN could be. But it appears to be one or a few major dominant gene action; that is, the experiment has not elucidated the genic or allelic relationship to the SBCN resistance segregating in CN12 (PI 636338) (Lewellen, 2006a) and likely from R22 (PI 590791) in the Salinas breeding program. These or similar lines and sources have nearly the same level of resistance to SBCN as the lines themselves (Lewellen and Pakeman, 2005). The allelic relationships among their resistance to SBCN also have yet to be determined. Neither of these or similar lines and sources have nearly the same level of resistance to SBCN as the lines themselves (Lewellen and Pakeman, 2005). The allelic relationships among their resistance to SBCN also have yet to be determined. Neither of these or similar lines and sources have nearly the same level of resistance to SBCN as the lines themselves (Lewellen and Pakeman, 2005). The allelic relationships among their resistance to SBCN also have yet to be determined. Neither of these or similar lines and sources have nearly the same level of resistance to SBCN as the lines themselves (Lewellen and Pakeman, 2005). The allelic relationships among their resistance to SBCN also have yet to be determined. Neither of these or similar lines and sources have nearly the same level of resistance to SBCN as the lines themselves (Lewellen and Pakeman, 2005). The allelic relationships among their resistance to SBCN also have yet to be determined. Neither of these or similar lines and sources have nearly the same level of resistance to SBCN as the lines themselves (Lewellen and Pakeman, 2005). The allelic relationships among their resistance to SBCN also have yet to be determined. Neither of these or similar lines and sources have nearly the same level of resistance to SBCN as the lines themselves (Lewellen and Pakeman, 2005). The allelic relationships among their resistance to SBCN also have yet to be determined. Neither of these or similar lines and sources have nearly the same level of resistance to SBCN as the lines themselves (Lewellen and Pakeman, 2005). The allelic relationships among their resistance to SBCN also have yet to be determined. Neither of these or similar lines and sources have nearly the same level of resistance to SBCN as the lines themselves (Lewellen and Pakeman, 2005). The allelic relationships among their resistance to SBCN also have yet to be determined. Neither of these or similar lines and sources have nearly the same level of resistance to SBCN as the lines themselves (Lewellen and Pakeman, 2005). The allelic relationships among their resistance to SBCN also have yet to be determined. Neither of these or similar lines and sources have nearly the same level of resistance to SBCN as the lines themselves (Lewellen and Pakeman, 2005). The allelic relationships among their resistance to SBCN also have yet to be determined. Neither of these or similar lines and sources have nearly the same level of resistance to SBCN as the lines themselves (Lewellen and Pakeman, 2005). The allelic relationships among their resistance to SBCN also have yet to be determined. Neither of these or similar lines and sources have nearly the same level of resistance to SBCN as the lines themselves (Lewellen and Pakeman, 2005). The allelic relationships among their resistance to SBCN also have yet to be determined. Neither of these or similar lines and sources have nearly the same level of resistance to SBCN as the lines themselves (Lewellen and Pakeman, 2005). The allelic relationships among their resistance to SBCN also have yet to be determined. Neither of these or similar lines and sources have nearly the same level of resistance to SBCN as the lines themselves (Lewellen and Pakeman, 2005). The allelic relationships among their resistance to SBCN also have yet to be determined. Neither of these or similar lines and sources have nearly the same level of resistance to SBCN as the lines themselves (Lewellen and Pakeman, 2005). The allelic relationships among their resistance to SBCN also have yet to be determined. Neither of these or similar lines and sources have nearly the same level of resistance to SBCN as the lines themselves (Lewellen and Pakeman, 2005). The allelic relationships among their resistance to SBCN also have yet to be determined. Neither of these or similar lines and sources have nearly the same level of resistance to SBCN as the lines themselves (Lewellen and Pakeman, 2005). The allelic relationships among their resistance to SBCN also have yet to be determined.