chairperson so he might devote more time to the soil survey. A conservationist was placed in the field office to assume the coffee duties.

The final field review was during September 1978. A final set of interpretive tables was available for the review. During the review the area and state range conservationist checked the tables and CAW statements concerning range management one more time. They had previously written the preface to the range management section, edited the canned material, written a section on major concerns to range management, and checked the tables against the mapping unit descriptions. Most of this was done concurrently as mapping progressed.

Following the final field review, Polly edited all of her material, bundled it all up, and sent it to the manuscript editor in the state office within four months. Following this edit it was routed through the state range conservationist, state forester, state agronomist, wildlife biologist, and was then sent to the Technical Service Center where each discipline had one more crack at it. Because of their diligence the manuscript sailed right through Hyattsville, back to the state for minor editing, went to the Government Printing Office exactly on schedule, and was in the hands of the user within one year. The state, area and field office range conservationists all received a letter of commendation the following month for their excellent input into the soil survey manuscript. And everyone lived happily ever after.

THE END

George W. Hartman
Assistant state soil scientist, SCS
Davis, Calif.

Funny Caption Contest

Write a humorous caption for the picture at the top of page 23. Mail the caption to:

Edward J. Ciolkosz
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The Pennsylvania State University
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This picture and winning caption will be published in a future Soil Survey Horizons together with a new photo.