The purpose of this letter is to lend further support to the comments of Koehler and Moodie regarding the mandatory use of metric units. There is no doubt that many readers of articles in Div. S-4 and S-6 are handicapped and the ideas from our research results are more likely to be lost because of the unfamiliar terminology. I urge a review of the current journal policy. Let the choice of units be left to the author but continue to encourage maximum use of metric units wherever feasible without sacrificing practicality.

**Fred M. Sandoval**

*Northern Great Plains Field Station*

*ARS, USDA, SWC*

*Mandan, N. Dak. 58554*

I should like to add my voice to those in complete agreement with Koehler and Moodie. The use of metric units to describe crop production results (kg/ha, hl/ha, °C, especially) is very awkward for the majority of our readers. I agree that the system of measurement should be left to the author. However, I do feel that the author should be strongly encouraged, if not required, to include converted data in parenthesis or footnotes.

**David E. Miller**

*ARS, USDA, SWC*

*Irrigated Agriculture Research & Extension Center*

*Prosser, Wash. 99350*

## ERRATA

In the paper by C. L. Douglas, Jr., J. B. Fehrenbacher, and B. W. Ray, entitled “The Lower Boundary of Selected Mollisols,” Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 31:795–800, 1967, substandard work by our former printer resulted in poor reproduction of Fig. 1 on page 798. This figure is reproduced at the right.

In the paper by A. L. Black and B. W. Greb entitled, “Soil Reflectance, Temperature, and Fallow Water Storage on Exposed Subsoils of a Brown Soil,” Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 32:105–109, 1968, three of the figures were placed with the wrong captions. The correct placement is as follows:

1) The graph shown as Fig. 1 on page 106 should be shown as Fig. 4 on page 108.
2) The graph shown as Fig. 3 on page 108 should be shown as Fig. 1 on page 106.
3) The graph shown as Fig. 4 on page 108 should be shown as Fig. 3 on page 108.

The following Soil Brief is reprinted in corrected form from page v in the Jan.-Feb. 1968 issue of Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc.: Potassium Exchange Equilibria and Yield Responses of Oats, Barley and Corn on Selected Quebec Soils

K/Ca + Mg activity ratios were determined for each soil and compared with growth response to added K of oats (*Avena sativa* L.), barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.), and corn (*Zea mays* L.) in field experiments. Activity ratios, potential buffering capacities, “exchangeable” K and “available” K measurements were compared. A crop response to added K was most closely related to the K potential as measured by a combination of K buffering capacity and “exchangeable” K.

**H. G. Zandstra and A. F. MacKenzie, MacDonald College, McGill Univ., Montreal, Can.**


Fig. 1—Root distribution of big bluestem (*Andropogon gerardi*) in Tama, Elburn, and Drummer soils.