Accessing a Russian Wheat Aphid Resistance Gene in Bread Wheat by Long-Read Technologies
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ABSTRACT  Russian wheat aphid (RWA) (Diuraphis noxia Kurdjumov) is a serious invasive pest of small-grain cereals and many grass species. An efficient strategy to defy aphid attacks is to identify sources of natural resistance and transfer resistance genes into susceptible crop cultivars. Revealing the genes helps understand plant defense mechanisms and engineer plants with durable resistance to the pest. To date, more than 15 RWA resistance genes have been identified in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) but none of them has been cloned. Previously, we genetically mapped the RWA resistance gene Dn2401 into an interval of 0.83 cM on the short arm of chromosome 7D and spanned it with five bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) clones. Here, we used a targeted strategy combining traditional approaches toward gene cloning (genetic mapping and sequencing of BAC clones) with novel technologies, including optical mapping and long-read nanopore sequencing. The latter, with reads spanning the entire length of a BAC insert, enabled us to assemble the whole region, a task that was not achievable with short reads. Long-read optical mapping validated the DNA sequence in the interval and revealed a difference in the locus organization between resistant and susceptible genotypes. The complete and accurate sequence generated from Illumina and nanopore reads. New markers located Dn2401 within a 133.2-kb interval with six high-confidence genes. Epoxide hydrolase 2 was identified as the most likely resistance gene candidate.

RUSSIAN WHEAT APHID (RWA) was first reported in 1978 as a pest of small-grain cereals in South Africa (Walters et al., 1980). Since then, the aphid has spread around the world, most recently to Australia, and has become a serious invasive pest not only of wheat and barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) but also of many other plants from 43 genera, including over 140 species of cultivated and wild grasses (Yazdani et al., 2017). During feeding, aphids remove plant photoassimilates, which results in chlorosis, longitudinal streaking around the main leaf vein, head trapping, a substantial reduction in biomass, and, in severe cases, plant death (Burden and Burton, 1992).
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Another undesirable effect accompanying aphid feeding is leaf rolling, which that serves as a shelter against natural predators of the aphid and also against insecticide spraying. Thus the most efficient strategy to defy RWA attacks lies in identifying sources of natural resistance and introducing them into susceptible cultivars.

Plant defenses against insect damage include three mechanisms that are frequently combined: antibiosis (mechanical and chemical defensive factors impacting insect biology, including fertility), antixenosis (nonpreference of a particular plant as a host), and tolerance (the ability of a plant to withstand insect damage) (Botha et al., 2005). To date, more than 15 RWA resistance genes, mostly underlying tolerance and antibiosis, have been described in wheat. Many of these originated from Aegilops tauschii Coss. as inferred from their map location in the D genome (Du Toit, 1987, 1989; Du Toit et al., 1995; Fazel-Najafabadi et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2001; Ma et al., 1998; Miller et al., 2001; Peng et al., 2007; Valdez et al., 2012; Voothuluru et al., 2006). At least one resistance gene, Dn7, originating from rye (Secale cereale L.) has been reported (Anderson et al., 2003; Marais et al., 1994; Lapitan et al., 2007). New highly virulent RWA biotypes have rendered previously resistant cultivars susceptible, which has stimulated an intensive search for novel sources of resistance as well as efforts to unravel the mechanisms underlying the trait. Although several components of the plant defense pathways have been proposed (Anderson et al., 2014; Van Eck et al., 2014), to date, no aphid resistance gene has been cloned in wheat. Nine of the hitherto mapped RWA resistance genes, namely Dn1, Dn2, Dn5 (Du Toit, 1987), Dn6 (Saïdi and Quick, 1996), Dn8 (Liu et al., 2001), Dnx (Harvey and Martin, 1990), Dn2401 (Voothuluru et al., 2006; Fazel-Najafabadi et al. 2015), Dn626580 (Valdez et al., 2012), and Dn100695 (Aykut Tonk et al., 2016) are located on the short arm of wheat chromosome 7D (7DS). Except for Dn8, which is located at the terminal part of the arm, the remaining genes were mapped to the interstitial part of 7DS and most of them were found linked to marker Xgwm111 (Liu et al. 2002; Fazel-Najafabadi et al. 2015). It has not yet been resolved if these genes are allelic or tightly linked.

Here, we focused on the Dn2401 gene identified in line ‘CI2401’, which originated from Tajikistan. Previously, Staňková et al. (2015) mapped the Dn2401 gene into a 0.83-cM interval on chromosome arm 7DS, delimited by the markers Xowm705 and Xowm711. The interval was spanned by five overlapping BAC clones from a 7DS-specific BAC library of the cultivar Chinese Spring (CS) (Šimková et al., 2011). Chinese Spring, a reference genome of bread wheat, is susceptible to RWA (Peng et al., 2009). In the current work, we sequenced, assembled, and annotated the five CS BAC clones, proposing a list of genes located in the interval. The study of Staňková et al., (2015) pointed to a striking decrease (a minimum of eightfold) in the physical/genetic map distance ratio in the Dn2401 interval compared with the neighboring regions. We speculated that this decrease is likely to be caused by a higher recombination rate in the region. However, an alternative explanation might be a structural variation between CS, in which we measured the physical distance, and CI2401 and Glupro, which were used to construct the genetic map. In the case of the larger deletion in CS, the list of candidate genes deduced from the CS reference sequence might not be complete. Considering the size of the region (~300 kb) and a highly repetitive nature of the wheat genome, it was unrealistic to analyze the entire interval in CI2401 by resequencing. Thus an easier approach to a fast and affordable comparative analysis of the region was chosen: a long-read optical mapping on the nanochannel platform of Bionano Genomics (San Diego, CA) (Lam et al. 2012), which generates maps of short sequence motif that are hundreds to thousands of kb long and can be used to support or validate sequence scaffolding and to perform large-scale comparative analyses. Thanks to a previously constructed Bionano genome (BNG) map of the 7DS arm from CS (Staňková et al., 2016), a newly prepared BNG map of 7DS from CI2401 facilitated a straightforward comparison of the entire region between the two accessions.

An accurate and complete sequence of the interval delimited by the flanking markers is a prerequisite for successful gene cloning. We approached the region through low complexity sequencing of individual BAC clones on the short-read Illumina (San Diego, CA) platform, which did not produce a continuous sequence of the entire interval. Recently, two advanced whole-genome assemblies of bread wheat were released: Triticum 3.1 (Zimin et al., 2017), combining short Illumina and long Pacific Biosciences (Menlo Park, CA) reads with the fully annotated IWGSC RefSeq version 1.0 (International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium, 2018), which was based on short reads only and coupled the whole-genome assembly with assemblies of physical map-ordered BAC clones. Triticum 3.1 and IWGSC RefSeq version 1.0 cover 97 and 92% of the estimated wheat genome size of 15.76 Gb (International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium, 2018), respectively. Such reference genome assemblies promise to be an excellent tool for accelerating gene cloning. Unfortunately, not even these assemblies allowed us to close the gap in the BAC assembly of the region of interest. To finally resolve the Dn2401 region, we adopted an alternative long-read technology: nanopore sequencing on the MinION platform of Oxford Nanopore Technologies, which produces reads reaching tens or even hundreds of kb (Deschamps et al., 2018), thus having the potential to span long transposable elements—the main obstacle in assembling complex genomes. Coupling nanopore and short reads of the BAC clones allowed us to complete the sequence of the Dn2401 region, which enabled precise annotation of the region, identification of new markers, and identification and resequencing of candidate genes.
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was closed with scaffolds assembled by SASSY from the 2 × 150 bp reads obtained from pooled 7DS BAC clones (Tulpová et al., 2019). The SASSY scaffolds did not close the 14.4-kb gap identified in TaaCsp7DS086H04.

Additional data for the BAC clone TaaCsp7DS086H04 were obtained by long-read nanopore sequencing. To maximize the read length, BAC DNA was extracted manually by the alkaline lysis method followed by phenol–chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. As a final step, the DNA was purified by a 5-min incubation with 1:1 AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, Miami, FL) and eluted into 30 μL of 10 mM tris(hydroxymethyl)- aminomethane (pH 8.5). The sequencing library was prepared using the Rapid Sequencing Kit (SQK-RAD003, Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford, UK) and the sample was run on the MinION platform (Oxford Nanopore Technologies). Size-selected reads (352 in total) ranging from 10 to 131 kb and representing 55-fold coverage of the BAC sequence were combined with the 2 × 250 bp Illumina reads for hybrid assembly with MaSuRCA

Plant Material

Genetic mapping was performed in an F3 population derived from a cross between a RWA-resistant winter wheat line CI2401 and the susceptible cultivar Glupro. The current population (designated CI2401 × Glupro F3) consisted of 333 plants and combined 184 individuals from a previous study (CI2401 × Glupro F2–1)(Šimková et al., 2015) with a new set of 149 F2 plants (CI2401 × Glupro F2–2) being included in this work.

The short arms of homeologous group 7 chromosomes of CS were flow-sorted from the respective double ditelosomic lines. Seed samples of these lines were kindly provided by Prof. Bikram Gill (Kansas State Univ., Manhattan, KS). To enable flow-sorting of the 7DS arm from line CI2401, a 7DS ditelosomic line was generated by misdivision of univalent 7DCI2401 in a hybrid between CS nullisomic 7D and respective plants were self-pollinated. Ditelosomic 7DS were selected from their progeny and propagated.

Sequencing and Assembly of BAC Clones

Five overlapping BAC clones from CS 7DS arm-specific BAC library (Šimková et al., 2011) spanning the Dn2401 region (TaaCsp7DS088E19, TaaCsp7DS010E01, TaaCsp-7DS112N11, TaaCsp7DS044E09, and TaaCsp7DS086H04) were purified with a Nucleospin 96 Flash kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) and individually pair-end sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq platform. The 2 × 250 bp reads obtained were assembled with RAY (Boisvert et al., 2010) separately for each clone. The resulting 90 contigs, which were mostly doubled because of BAC overlaps, were manually merged in Geneious version 7.1.2 (http://www.geneious.com, accessed 14 Feb. 2019)(Kearse et al., 2012), with support from BLAST and the 7DS BNG map of CS (Šťátková et al., 2016). A larger gap in the clone TaaCsp7DS088E19 was closed with scaffolds assembled by SASSY with support from BLAST and the 7DS BNG map of CS

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Table 1. Predicted high-confidence coding sequences in the wider Dn2401 region of Chinese Spring wheat.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene No.</th>
<th>IWGSC RefSeq ID†</th>
<th>Functional analysis‡</th>
<th>Domain ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>TraesCS7D01G224100.1</td>
<td>Epoxide hydrolase 2</td>
<td>PF00561, IPR00073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>TraesCS7D01G224100.2</td>
<td>Aspartic peptidase family</td>
<td>PF14543, PF14541, IPR001461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>TraesCS7D01G224300.1</td>
<td>Bacterial trigger factor protein</td>
<td>PF05697, PF05698, IPR005215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>TraesCS7D01G224400.1</td>
<td>2-oxoglutarate and Fe(II)-dependent oxygenase superfamily protein</td>
<td>PF14226, PF03171, IPR005123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>TraesCS7D01G224500.1</td>
<td>Nuclear pore complex protein Nup98–Nup96</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>TraesCS7D01G224600.1</td>
<td>Dual specificity protein phosphatase, putative</td>
<td>PF00782, IPR003340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>TraesCS7D01G224700.1</td>
<td>Microutubule associated family protein</td>
<td>PF03399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>TraesCS7D01G224800.1</td>
<td>RNA recognition motif</td>
<td>IPR000504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>TraesCS7D01G224900.1</td>
<td>Membrane protein</td>
<td>PF09991, IPR018710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>TraesCS7D01G225000.1</td>
<td>Acyl-coenzyme A oxidase</td>
<td>PF02770, PF00441, PF01756, IPR002655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>TraesCS7D01G225100.1</td>
<td>Acyl-coenzyme A oxidase</td>
<td>PF02770, PF00441, PF01756, IPR002655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>TraesCS7D01G225200.1</td>
<td>Vacular protein sorting-associated protein VTA1</td>
<td>PF04652, IPR023175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>TraesCS7D01G225300.1</td>
<td>PHD finger protein</td>
<td>PF00628, PF01426, IPR001025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium RefSeq ID as in International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium (2018).
‡ The wider region was delimited by the markers Xowm705 and Xowm711. Coding sequences from the reduced interval delimited by markers Xowm716 and Xowm712 are in bold.
For templates with a high GC content, 5% dimethyl sulfoxide was added into a PCR reaction. Amplification products were cleaned up and Sanger-sequenced on an ABI 3730xl DNA analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA). Polymorphisms between parental lines were identified by sequence alignment in Geneious version 7.1.2.

**Bionano Genome Map and Analysis of Structural Variation**

To investigate the overall sequence organization of the Dn2401 region in CI2401 and to detect a possible structural variation between CI2401 and the reference genome of CS, we constructed a new BNG map of the chromosome arm 7DS originating from CI2401 and compared it with the previously assembled 7DS BNG map of CS (Staňková et al., 2016). The 7DS chromosome arm was flow-sorted from a 7DS ditelosomic line of CI2401 and compared with CS 7DS (Staňková et al., 2016). The specificity of the proposed primers was assayed by Sanger-sequencing the respective amplicons from plants of the extended CI2401 × Glupro F1 mapping population. The presence–absence variation of the marker Xowm715 was assayed by PCR and electrophoresis. Primers and PCR conditions for all markers are given in Table 2. The 149 newly added individuals of the mapping population (CI2401 × Glupro F1–2) were also assayed for the original flanking markers Xowm705 and Xowm711. Scoring of the RWA response was done at the Colorado State University Insectary in the same fashion as described for the first part of the mapping population (Staňková et al., 2015). Genotype and phenotype data of all 333 individuals from the extended CI2401 × Glupro F2 mapping population were processed with JoinMap version 4.0 software (Van Ooijen and Voorrips, 2001).

**RESULTS**

Sequencing of Candidate BAC Clones

Five candidate BAC clones, spanning the Dn2401 interval as delimited by Staňková et al., (2015), were Illumina sequenced and assembled, resulting in two scaffolds of 70.8 and 367 kb (Supplemental Fig. S1). To evaluate the completeness and correctness of the assembly, the scaffolds were aligned to the previously constructed BNG map of the CS 7DS arm (Staňková et al., 2016), which showed a 14.4-kb gap in the region corresponding to the BAC clone TaaCsp7DS086H04. We attempted to close

---

**Table 2. Markers mapped in the Dn2401 region of wheat.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker ID</th>
<th>Polymorphism †</th>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Ta °C</th>
<th>Amplicon size bp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xowm713</td>
<td>SNP G/A</td>
<td>Forward: CGTGCATGATCCTCGACATGAT</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: TCTCTATTTTAACACGCTGCT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xowm714</td>
<td>SNP A/G</td>
<td>Forward: TCCTGATGGAGAATGTTGCTTGT</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: GGCTGAAACCAAAGAATCCATC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xowm715</td>
<td>PAV</td>
<td>Forward: CCCCCAGACGACGGCTGA</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: GAGGAGGAGAGGCTTCTCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xowm716</td>
<td>SNP C/T</td>
<td>Forward: GGGCGGATGGGATCTTTCAC</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: AAGAATAATGCTGAAATGGGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† PAV, presence–absence variation; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; Ta, annealing temperature
that gap with two recently published whole-genome CS sequence assemblies (Zimin et al., 2017; International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium, 2018) but the BNG map indicated misassemblies in the region of interest for both of them (Fig. 1A). To complete the sequence of the region, long-read nanopore data were generated for the BAC clone TaaCsp7DS086H04 and were combined with the BAC short-read data (Supplemental File S1), which resulted in a hybrid BAC assembly of 452,442 bp that spanned the entire interval between Xowm705 and Xowm711 by a continuous sequence of 304,109 bp (no internal gaps) and was in full agreement with the BNG map of CS 7DS (Fig. 1B, Supplemental Fig. S1).

Candidate Gene Identification and Comparison

Gene modeling on the assembled sequence of CS BAC clones spanning the Dn2401 interval led to the prediction of 13 coding loci (Table 1, Supplemental Fig. S2), including the gene HVA22, whose coding sequence lies 182 bp distal to the interval but, because of its close proximity, the downstream regulatory region of the gene might potentially fall within the interval.

Narrowing down the Dn2401 interval by mapping the new marker Xowm713 excluded six proximally located genes (Table 1). Three of the remaining genes, HVA22, Epoxide hydrolase 2 (EH2), and a gene coding for a 2-oxoglutarate and Fe(II)-dependent oxygenase [2OG-Fe(II) oxygenase] superfamily protein, were considered potential candidates for the RWA resistance gene Dn2401. HVA22, initially identified in barley (Shen et al., 1993), is a gene induced by abscisic acid, which is known to mediate developmental and physiological processes such as seed development and stress responses, including plant responses to aphid feeding (Smith and Boyko, 2007). EH2 was found previously to be one of the genes showing increased expression after aphid feeding in a RWA-resistant line, PI220127 (Boyko et al., 2006). The 2OG-Fe(II) oxygenase appears to be involved in plant response to biotic stress because the underlying gene was found to be overexpressed after inoculating wheat with fungal pathogens (Zhang et al., 2014) (www.wheat-expression.com, accessed 14 Feb. 2019).

To compare the HVA22 locus between the parents of the mapping population (the RWA-resistant line CI2401 and the RWA-susceptible Glupro), we sequenced in both of them a continuous region starting 3.2 kb upstream and ending 765 bp downstream of the HVA22 coding sequence. The gene consisted of five exons that did not differ between the parents, and four introns, the second of which bore one SNP [A/G]. The A variant of the SNP is shared by the resistant line CI2401 and the susceptible CS. In the promoter region of both CI2401 and Glupro, we observed abscisic acid response elements ABRE2 and ABRE3 as well as three TATAA domains localized 1105, 717, and 163 bp upstream of the gene. In the 3’ untranslated region (UTR), in both accessions, we found two AUAAA domains and in the downstream region, we precisely positioned a 43-bp deletion that was specific for Glupro, which was earlier identified as the marker Xowm711 (Staňková et al., 2015). The sequence spanning this indel was also inspected in CS and it was confirmed that the
susceptible CS had the same haplotype as the resistant CI2401 in the HVA22 locus. Hence this polymorphism was not associated with the resistant phenotype.

To assess the EH2 locus, we compared sequences starting 2871 bp upstream and ending 963 bp downstream of the EH2 coding sequence that consisted of three exons and two introns. The total length of the coding sequence was 2275 bp. In the 5' flanking region of the gene, we found a Ty1/Copia retroelement located 1550 bp upstream of the coding sequence (Supplemental Fig. S3, Supplemental File S1). Alignment of the CI2401 and Glupro sequences revealed no polymorphism in the entire EH2 region except for a single SNP [C/T] located in the 3'UTR, 290 bp downstream from the coding sequence. The T variant was shared by the susceptible cultivars CS and Glupro.

We also resequenced and compared a continuous DNA segment of 8470 bp covering the entire 2OG-Fe(II) oxygenase locus as well as a neighboring gene coding for a bacterial trigger factor protein, including their up- and downstream regulatory regions (Supplemental Fig. S4). The sequence comparison revealed a single polymorphism corresponding to the marker Xowm714, located in the second exon of the gene for the bacterial trigger factor protein. This enabled a haplotype analysis of the locus, revealing that the A variant of the SNP was shared by the resistant line CI2401 and the susceptible CS. The data obtained by resequencing the two loci did not support them as the true Dn2401 candidates. In the search for new markers in the interval, we also analyzed the entire gene for aspartic peptidase, including the adjacent regions and the majority of the gene for dual specificity protein phosphatase (Supplemental Table S3) without detecting any polymorphisms.

**Bionano Genome Mapping and Structural Variations in the Dn2401 Region**

With the aim of inspecting the overall structure in the Dn2401 interval in the CI2401 line and to compare it with the reference genome of CS, we investigated the region by optical mapping. By using the Bionano Genomics Irys platform and the approach applied previously for constructing the 7DS BNG map of CS, we generated a BNG map of the 7DS chromosome arm of CI2401 that consisted of 468 BNG map contigs with an average length of 765 kb and for which the sequence length of the shortest contig at 50% of the total genome length was 1.36 Mb. This novel 7DS-CI2401 BNG map had a total length of 358 Mb and covered 94% of the estimated arm length (Supplemental Table S1).

Alignments of the two 7DS BNG maps to the BAC assembly of the region led to the identification of two BNG map contigs spanning the Dn2401 region in each of the accessions: contigs 279 and 280 for CS and contigs 165 and 199 for CI2401 (Fig. 1B). The reason for the BNG map split was the presence of a “fragile site” that arose from the occurrence of proximally located Nt.BspQI nicking sites on opposite DNA strands. This introduced a gap of ~15 kb in both BNG maps. Alignment of the two maps indicated an 8.2-kb indel at the distal end of the BNG map contigs 280 and 199 of CS and CI2401, respectively (Fig. 1B). Detailed analysis of the variable region in CS positioned the CS-specific insert ~12.7 kb upstream of the EH2 gene and identified it as a recombined copy of a long terminal repeat retroelement from the Ty3/gypsy superfamily (Fig. 2), which was probably present as the native single-copy element in CI2401. The absence of the
duplicated retroelement in CI2401 was validated by PCR with primers designed for diagnostic SNPs in long terminal repeats of the retroelements (Supplemental Table S2), which confirmed the presence of this duplicated recombinant element in Glupro. Apart from this rearrangement, the alignment of the optical maps did not reveal other structural variations, indicating that the list of genes obtained from the CS sequence was most likely to be complete.

**Narrowing Down the Dn2401 Interval**

In a previous study on the F₂ population of 184 individuals, we identified Xowm705 and Xowm711 as markers flanking the Dn2401 gene and delimiting an interval of 0.83 cM (Staňková et al., 2015). To further increase the resolution of the genetic map, we expanded the mapping population by an additional 149 F₂ individuals and identified three new recombinants for the interval delimited by Xowm705 and Xowm711. The new data extended the interval to 0.90 cM and localized Dn2401 as 0.60 cM distal to Xowm705 and 0.30 cM proximal to Xowm711 (Fig. 3).

To further narrow down the Dn2401 region, 46 new primer sets were designed and, after optimization, 44 primer pairs (96%) provided a single 7DS-specific PCR product. Sequencing of 41 amplicons from both parents did not detect any polymorphism, whereas one amplicon provided a mixed sequence, probably caused by sequence duplication within the 7DS. Only two amplicons yielded new SNPs, which were mapped in the complete CI2401 × Glupro F₂ population. The SNPs, named Xowm713 and Xowm714 (Table 2), were mapped into the Dn2401 interval. Xowm713 became a new proximal flanking marker, reducing the interval to 0.45 cM and 137.5 kb, whereas Xowm714 was found to cosegregate with Dn2401 (Fig. 3). The structural variation revealed in the retroelement upstream of EH2 gene with STS2 primers (Fig. 2) could be mapped as a presence–absence polymorphism in the mapping population. This new marker, labeled Xowm715, was found to cosegregate with Xowm714 and Dn2401. Finally, we mapped the SNP identified in the 3’UTR of the EH2 gene between Xowm711 and Xowm715. This novel distal flanking marker, named Xowm716 (Table 2), delimited a reduced Dn2401 interval of 0.3 cM and 133.2 kb (Fig. 3).

**DISCUSSION**

**Sequencing and Analysis of the Dn2401 Region**

The Dn2401 region proved to be highly challenging for several sequencing technologies and approaches applied. The biggest obstacle to complete and correct assembly was the duplicated recombinant retroelement (Fig. 2), which was found to be misassembled in the whole-genome assembly generated from short-read Illumina data (International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium, 2018) and could not be resolved even after reducing sample complexity to a single BAC clone, despite applying the several assemblers including SASSY and RAY, which, in combination, succeeded in assembling the remaining part of the interval. We expected that the duplication might be resolved in the hybrid assembly of Zimin et al. (2017), which combined short-read Illumina data with long-read data obtained through PacBio sequencing. Surprisingly, optical mapping indicated even more discrepancies in the Triticum 3.1 assembly of the Dn2401 region. The entire Dn2401 interval could be resolved only after adding long nanopore reads, which enabled a reliable scaffolding of precise Illumina reads.

Bacterial artificial chromosome libraries, used previously for generating reference genomes, are available for many important crops, including maize (Zea mays L.) (Schnable et al. 2009), barley (IBGSC, 2012), and wheat (International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium, 2018). Chromosome- or chromosome-arm-specific BAC libraries have been constructed for all chromosomes of CS bread wheat (Šafář et al. 2010; International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium, 2018) and can be screened easily through pools of BAC clones (http://cnrgv.toulouse.inra.fr/en/library/wheat, accessed 14 Feb. 2019). Moreover, short-read assemblies of BAC clones have been generated for several chromosomes of CS and are publicly accessible (International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium, 2018; Tulpová et al. 2019). The availability of the BAC resources makes the precision resequencing of a region of interest (as used in the present work) widely applicable. In previous wheat cloning studies, the BAC-based approach was coupled with the PacBio technique rather than nanopore sequencing (Schweiger et al., 2016; Roselli et al., 2017). This alternative is handicapped by shorter reads [e.g., Zimin et al., (2017) reported that the sequence length of the shortest contig at 50% of the total genome length was ~10 kb] that hardly span the entire length of a BAC insert, typically exceeding 100 kb. Schweiger et al. (2016) sequenced 10 wheat BAC clones spanning their region of interest with Roche 454 and PacBio technologies, but they were unable to produce a continuous assembly because of two gaps of several kb around transposable elements. The MinION sequencer is highly affordable (https://nanoporetech.com/products/minion, accessed 14 Feb. 2019) and relatively undemanding in terms of DNA input (less than 1μg per sequencing library), which makes it a favorable platform for small-scale in-house sequencing of specific BAC clones.

Optical BNG maps proved to be a useful and cost-efficient tool for overall sequence validation and the identification of structural variations in the region of interest. The minimum size of indels that can be resolved by optical mapping ranges from 1 kbp (Zhu et al., 2018) to as little as 500 bp (https://bionanogenomics.com/technology/dls-technology/, accessed 14 Feb. 2019) for the Bionano Genomics Irys and Saphyr platforms, respectively. To generate BNG maps, we took advantage of complexity reduction by flow-sorting the 7DS arm from wheat ditelosomic lines carrying 7DS arms as telocentric chromosomes. Preparation of a particular telosomic line from a cultivar of interest is technically simple but requires an unpredictable amount of cytology, as the misdivision frequencies
of individual chromosomes vary and cannot be gauged in advance. In several applications of the flow-sorted chromosomes, the use of telosomics has been circumvented by applying FISHIS (Giorgi et al. 2013), which labels DNA at trinucleotide microsatellites, typically GAA. This enables the flow-sorting of specific wheat chromosomes from the majority of wheat accessions with high purity (Vrána et al., 2016). In a pilot experiment, FISHIS labeling turned out to be problematic for BNG mapping with the Nt.BspQI nickase (unpublished data, 2016), perhaps because of an overlap of GAA with the Nt.BspQI recognition site. It is yet to be determined if the FISHIS procedure interferes with the Direct Label and Stain chemistry, introduced recently by Bionano Genomics as an alternative to nickase-based labeling (Deschamps et al., 2018). Whole-genome BNG maps are available for reference genomes of all major cereal crops, including rice (Oryza sativa L.) (Chen et al., 2017), maize (Jiao et al., 2017), barley (Mascher et al., 2017), and wheat (Luo et al., 2017); all of them have been generated on the Irys platform of Bionano Genomics. The new Bionano platform Saphyr, which has improved throughput and image resolution, mitigates the need for the complexity reduction and allows analyses of Gbp-sized genomes of interest in their entirety in a relatively short time and at a reasonable cost.

The Dn2401 Candidate

Annotation of the Dn2401 region delimited by the markers Xowm711 and Xowm713 indicated the presence of seven high confidence genes (Table 1; Supplemental Fig. S2); of these, HVA22 (TraesCS7D01G224100), EH2 (TraesCS7D01G224200), and 2OG-Fe(II) oxygenase (TraesCS7D01G224500) were considered as potential resistance gene candidates on the basis of their preliminary functional analysis. Mapping of a new marker, Xowm716, into the region shifted HVA22 further away from the Dn2401 interval. Furthermore, we revealed that the resistant CI2401 and the susceptible CS shared the same haplotype in the HVA22 region, which excluded HVA22 as the Dn2401 candidate. In contrast, the haplotype in the EH2 region is common to the susceptible cultivars and is different from that of the resistant line CI2401. EH2 was among the genes showing increased expression after aphid feeding in the RWA-resistant line PI220127, which bears the Dnx resistance gene in chromosome arm 7DS (Boyko et al., 2006). Both Dn2401 and Dnx belong to a group of RWA resistance genes linked with the marker Xgwm111 (Liu et al. 2001; Fazel-Najafabadi et al., 2015), which might be allelic (Liu et al. 2002). This makes EH2 a robust candidate for the Dn2401 gene.

Epoxide hydrolases (EHs) are enzymes present in all living organisms; they transform epoxide-containing lipids through the addition of water. Plants contain multiple soluble EH isoforms, which can be both constitutive and infection-induced (Newman et al., 2005). The transcription of the inductive enzymes can be increased by exogenous exposure to hormones, including the host-defense regulator methyl jasmonate (Stapleton et al., 1994). The substrate specificity and regulatory behavior of soluble plant EHs argue for a primary function of this enzyme in host defense and growth. The defensive functions can be related to both passive (cutin biosynthesis) and active (antifungal chemical synthesis) roles (Newman et al. 2005). The effect of the cuticle lipid (wax and cutin) composition has been considered in plant defense against both pathogens and pests. Studies on wheat’s response to feeding by Hessian fly (Mayetiola destructor) larvae suggested cuticle composition and integrity are important components of host resistance (Kosma et al. 2010; Kharjura et al. 2013). The involvement of EHs in cutin biosynthesis matches well with the tolerance type of RWA resistance identified in CI2401 by Dong et al. (1997) and Voothuluru et al. (2006) and assayed in the present work.

We were also intrigued by a role of EHs in insects, whose juvenile hormone EH irreversibly degrades juvenile hormones, which is essential for insect metamorphosis. The gene for juvenile hormone EH was thus proposed as a promising target for hemipteran pest management (Tusun et al., 2017). In aphids, with their reproductive polyphenism (i.e., alternating reproductive modes from parthenogenesis to sexual reproduction in response to short photoperiods), the juvenile hormone degradation pathway appears to be involved in regulating the transition from asexual to sexual reproduction (Ishikawa et al., 2012). Interestingly, the EHs of cress and potato were shown to efficiently hydrolyze insect juvenile hormones (Morisseau et al., 2000), which lets us speculate about a possible pest–host interaction that could explain antibiosis (reduced populations) observed in the CI2401 line (Voothuluru et al., 2006).

During the analysis of the EH2 locus, we resequenced a total of 6109 bp (Supplemental Fig. S3) from the resistant CI2401 and the susceptible Glupro and compared them with the reference genome of the susceptible CS. The only polymorphism discovered was a single SNP located in the 3’UTR, 290 bp downstream of the gene. A larger structural variation (a 8.2-kb indel) between the resistant line CI2401 and the susceptible cultivars CS and Glupro was identified 12.7 kb upstream of the gene. Both polymorphisms were found to cosegregate with the trait. It is yet to be determined by functional analysis if the SNP in the 3’UTR or the indel in the upstream region affect the expression of EH2 and thus contribute to the resistance of CI2401.

The gene coding for the 2OG-Fe(II) oxygenase (TraesCS7D01G224500) was selected as a potential candidate because of its reported overexpression in response to a biotic stress, specifically, wheat infection by powdery mildew (Blumeria graminis f. sp. tritici) and stripe rust (Puccinia striiformis f. sp. tritici) (Zhang et al., 2014). On the other hand, no pathogen-dependent expression patterns were observed after inoculation of a resistant wheat line with Fusarium head blight (Schweiger et al., 2016), which suggested that 2OG-Fe(II) oxygenase was not a universal component of plant defense pathways. This, together with the absence of polymorphisms in the coding
and regulatory regions of the gene, reduce the chance of 2OG-Fe(II) oxygenase being the true Dn2401 candidate. As to the remaining genes in the interval, we have not found any published evidence of their changed expression in response to aphid feeding (Boyko et al., 2006; Smith and Boyko, 2007; Botha et al., 2014). The comprehensive wheat transcriptomics database built on the RNA-seq data (http://www.wheat-expression.com, accessed 14 Feb. 2019) (Ramírez-González et al., 2018) does not currently include any pest-response related studies. However, it comprises data from multiple projects on host–pathogen interactions, which did not indicate any significant pathogen-related response for the genes TraesCS7D01G224300 (aspartic peptidase), TraesCS7D01G224400 (a bacterial trigger factor protein), TraesCS7D01G224600 (nuclear pore complex protein), and TraesCS7D01G224700 (dual specificity protein phosphatase) located in the Dn2401 interval.

Previous transcriptomic studies on wheat’s response to RWA infestation were based on subtractive hybridization, amplified fragment length polymorphism based transcript profiling (cDNA-AFLP), and real-time quantitative PCR on a selected gene set, and microarray hybridization, which may provide limited information. We expect that real-time quantitative PCR analysis of all genes from the critical interval will provide more comprehensive data to ultimately resolve the true Dn2401 candidate.
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