Ionomic Screening of Field-Grown Soybean Identifies Mutants with Altered Seed Elemental Composition
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Abstract
Soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merr.] seeds contain high levels of mineral nutrients essential for human and animal nutrition. High throughput elemental profiling (ionomics) has identified mutants in model plant species grown in controlled environments. Here, we describe a method for identifying potential soybean ionomics mutants grown in a field setting and apply it to 975 N-nitroso-N-methylurea (NMU) mutagenized lines. After performing a spatial correction, we identified mutants using either visual scoring of standard score (z-score) plots or computational ranking of putative mutants followed by visual confirmation. Although there was a large degree of overlap between the methods, each method identified unique lines. The visual scoring approach identified 22 out of 427 (5%) potential mutants, 70% (16 out of 22) of which were confirmed when seeds from the same parent plant were regrown in the field. We also performed simulations to determine an optimal strategy for screening large populations. All data from the screen is available at the Ionomics Hub File Transfer Page (http://www.ionomicshub.org/home/PnMS/dataexchange).

The elemental composition of soybean is an important component of their overall nutritional value. Soybean is rich in Fe and other mineral nutrients required for human and animal nutrition (Messina, 1999). As most of the elemental composition of the seeds, with the exception of C and O₂, is obtained from the soil, seed composition is an indicator of the plant’s interaction with the environment (Baxter and Dilkes, 2012). Accordingly, identifying the genetic factors controlling the elemental composition (the ionome) of soybean seeds has the potential to improve yield, quality, and our understanding of soybean–soil interactions.

Ionomics (high throughput elemental profiling) has been used to identify mutants and natural alleles in plants grown in potting mix or hydroponics in controlled environments (Lahner et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2009). These approaches identified mutants where single elements were altered as well as mutants with multi-element phenotypes. Cloning of the underlying loci driving two of the multi-element phenotypes identified by Lahner et al. (2003) led to the discovery of genes that had not been predicted to affect elemental composition. ESB1, a gene of unknown molecular function, and TSC10α, a gene in the sphingolipid pathway, have both been shown to affect suberin deposition in the root.
Materials and Methods

Population and Field Growth

The ‘Williams-82’ soybean population was mutagenized with N-nitroso-N-methylurea (NMU) as previously described in Cooper et al. (2008) (population D). This population is expected to have a mutation density of approximately one base pair change per 140 kb. Approximately 25 plants per line from the M3 generation were grown in 1.8-m rows with 0.76-m row spacing and 1.2-m alleys between rows in the 2009 growing season in West Lafayette, IN. Rows were harvested in bulk. For the second round of screening, additional M4 individuals were grown in the same field during the 2011 growing season in West Lafayette. In 2009, seed from nonmutagenized Williams-82 was collected from plants interspersed with the mutants. In 2011, Williams-82 was grown nearby but in nonadjacent plots of the same field as the regrown mutants. For a select number of M4 individuals, pods were harvested individually so that pod-to-pod variation could be assessed.

Determination of Elemental Concentration by Ion Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry Analysis

Sample Preparation and Digestion

Nine hundred forty-seven lines from the M1 generation of the mutagenized Williams-82 population were analyzed for the elemental concentrations of 20 elements. For each line, six or eight seeds were randomly pulled from the bulk seed packets for analysis. Seeds were sorted into 48-well tissue culture plates with one seed per well. A weight for each individual seed was determined using a custom built weighing robot. The weighing robot holds six 48-well plates and maneuvers each well of the plates over a hole that opens onto a three-place balance. After recording the weight, each seed was deposited using pressurized air into a 16 by 110 mm borosilicate glass test tube for digestion. The weighing robot can automatically weigh 288 seeds in approximately 1.5 h with little user intervention.

Seeds were digested in 2.5 mL concentrated HNO3 (AR Select Grade, VWR International, LLC) with internal standard added (20 µg L⁻¹ In) (Aristar Plus, BDH Chemicals). Seeds were soaked at room temperature overnight and then heated to 105°C for 2 h. After cooling, the samples were diluted to 10 mL using ultrapure 18.2 MΩ water (UPW) from a Milli-Q system (Millipore). Samples were stirred with a custom-built stirring rod assembly, which uses plastic stirring rods to stir 60 test tubes at a time. Between uses, the stirring rod assembly was soaked in a 10% HNO3 solution. A second dilution of 0.9 mL of the first dilution and 4.1 mL UPW was prepared in a second set of test tubes. After stirring, 1.2 mL of the second dilution was loaded into 96-well autosampler trays.

Ion Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry Analysis

Elemental concentrations of B, Na, Mg, Al, P, S, K, Ca, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Se, Rb, Mo, and Cd were measured using an Elan 6000 DRC (dynamic reaction cell)-e mass spectrometer (PerkinElmer SCIEX) connected to a P configurable (PFA) microflow nebulizer (Elemental Scientific) and Apex HF desolvator (Elemental Scientific). Samples were introduced using a SC-FAST sample introduction system and SC4-DX autosampler (Elemental Scientific) that holds six 96-well trays (576 samples).

All elements were measured with DRC collision mode off. Before each run, the lens voltage and nebulizer gas flow rate of the ion coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) were optimized for maximum signal intensity (>25,000 counts per second) while also maintaining low CeO⁺:Ce⁺ (<0.008) and Ba⁺⁺:Ba⁺ (<0.1) ratios. This ensures a strong signal while also reducing the interferences caused by polyatomic and double-charged species. Before each run a calibration curve was obtained by analyzing six dilutions of a multi-element stock solution made from a mixture of single-element stock standards (Ultra Scientific). In addition, to correct for machine drift both during a single run and between runs, a control solution was run every 10th sample. The control solution is a bulk mixture of the remaining sample from the second dilution. Using bulked samples ensured that our controls were perfectly matrix matched and contained the same elemental concentrations as our samples, so that any drift due to the sample matrix would be reflected in drift in our controls. The same control mixture was used for every ICP-MS run in the project so that run-to-run variation could be corrected. A run of 576 samples took approximately 33 h with no user intervention. The time
required for cleaning of the instrument and sample tubes as well as the digestions and transfers necessary to set up the run limit the throughput to two 576 sample runs per week.

Drift Correction and Analytical Outlier Removal
Because our internal standard (IS) is added to our digesting acid, we are able to correct for losses due to differential sample evaporation, human error during the dilution process, and any sample introduction variability. So, if the final observed IS concentration is lower or higher than the starting IS concentration, all analyte concentrations are corrected equally for the percent difference between the observed IS concentration and the known starting IS concentration. Internal standard correction is handled automatically by the PerkinElmer Elan 6000 software. Additionally, drift was corrected using the values of the controls run every 10th sample using a method similar to Haugen (Haugen et al., 2000). In short, drift was corrected by calculating the percentage of concentration change between two controls. This percentage change was assumed to have occurred linearly during the sequence of 10 samples run between the two controls. So, for instance, the first sample run after the first control was corrected for 1/10th of the drift seen between the two controls. Finally, because responses from the machine may be different between runs, we also corrected for drift between runs. This was performed by calculating a correction factor from the control concentrations in this run and a reference control used for all the runs. After drift correction, samples were corrected for the dilution factor and normalized to the seed weights.

While biological outliers are of great interest to our analysis, analytical outliers (e.g., from contamination, spurious isobaric and polyatomic interferences, or poor sample uptake) introduce noise and could lead to a higher rate of incorrectly chosen potential mutants. Outlier removal was implemented using the algorithm described in Davies and Gather (1993). To remove outliers while ensuring that we were not removing biologically significant data points we removed data points on a per element basis from seeds whose reported elemental concentration was greater than a conservatively set 6.2 median absolute deviations from the median concentration of that element for that line.

Sample Size and Elements Omitted
We analyzed the first 427 lines at a depth of eight seeds per line. After visual inspection of the data for the line replicates, we determined that a depth of six seeds per line would provide enough information to select potential mutants, even for those lines that were potentially heterozygous.

Analysis of the concentrations of the elements measured across the ICP-MS runs for the experiment indicated that some of the elements measured were often below our limits of quantitation (As and Cd), prone to contamination during our sample preparation (Na and Al), or prone to interference from other components in the sample matrix (As and Al). To reduce the detection of false positives and incorrect conclusions based on noise from poorly quantified elements, we did not consider these elements in our screen for mutants.

Computational Analysis
All calculations were performed using a combination of in-house Perl and R scripts. The spatial correction plots used for visual inspection of field effects and evaluating the efficacy of our spatial correction technique were created using the lattice R package and the melt function of the reshape R package (Wickham, 2007). The Venn diagram was generated using the VennDiagram R package (Chen and Boutros, 2011). Standard Perl and R functions were used for drift correction, calculation of standard scores (z-scores), and creation of the z-score plots. Scripts used in this analysis are available at http://www.ionomicshub.org/home/PiiMS/data-exchange in the data exchange portal.

Results
Spatial Correction for Field Effects
We screened 947 field grown soybean lines using the ionomic pipeline detailed in the Materials and Methods. Differences in elemental profiles due to soil variation across the field site affected the distribution of ionomic phenotypes (Fig. 1A). We adjusted the elemental profiles for each genotype using to the elemental profiles from the adjacent plots. The correction for each genotype was performed by taking the median concentration of each element in a three by three block of plants centered around the genotype and subtracting these medians from the concentration of each element in the line. This has the effect of removing variance in the elemental profiles of plants when neighbors co-vary due to spatial effects while preserving the signal that is due to genetic variation (Fig. 1B). The spatially corrected values resulted in mutant identification throughout the field rather than the selection of one row of plants with a high elemental concentration as is evident without spatial correction (Fig. 1 and 2).

Putative Mutant Identification
To make a preliminary summarization of potential significant differences, each element measurement for every line was compared to all other samples of that element using a simple t test with an experiment-wide (14,205 tests) false discovery rate correction (Tables 1 and 2). Even with the significance cutoff level set quite stringently, studentized range statistic (q) < 0.001, 155 lines had at least one element significantly different from the population. The number of significant differences is likely due to both genetic factors (mutations) and environmental variation unaccounted for by the spatial correction combined with the precision of the ionomics techniques and the high statistical power of the n = 6 to 8 design. Significant differences were detected for all elements in at least three lines. The fewest mutants (three
31 mutants were identified with a difference in Rb accumulation (Table 1). One hundred thirty-two of the lines (85%) had only a single significantly different element, with the rest having two to nine elements with altered accumulation.
We took three approaches to identifying mutants for confirmation: visual scoring of z-score plots, either ranking mutants by highest single absolute value of mean z-score (the selection of mutants based on the highest z-score of all of the elements measured [Zmax]) of any element, or ranking based on the sum of the absolute values of the mean elements across all 15 elements (the selection of mutants based on the sum of the z-scores for all of the elements measured [Zsum]) followed by visual confirmation (by A. Terauchi, G. Ziegler, and I. Baxter). Standard scores (z-scores) were selected as the statistical tests so that the computational method could be compared to the visual method. Visual scoring was only performed on the first 427 mutant lines while the ranked z-score tests were performed on all lines. The visual scoring approach identified 22 out of 427 (5%) potential mutants. Of the top 100 mutants identified by the Zmax method, 95 (10%, 37 in the first 427 lines) were confirmed as putative mutants by the visual scoring of z-score plots. Seventy-three of the top 100 lines selected using the Zsum were confirmed as putative mutants by visual inspection, 40 from the top 50, and 33 from 50 through 100. There is a large degree of overlap between the three methods as well as the t test method (Fig. 3), but each method identified unique lines. Plots of the z-score profile for each of the plants identified as putative mutants by the Zmax and Zsum method are contained in Supplemental File S1.

**Mutant Confirmation**

The 22 putative mutants identified from visual inspection of the first 427 lines were selected for further analysis. Individual seeds from the original seed stock were planted in 2011 at the Purdue Ag farm and the elemental profile was determined. Analysis of single seeds permits the detection of parent plants segregating for a phenotype within the row. For example, line 19147 (Fig. 4) appears to be homozygous for high S but segregating for high Co and Se. To determine if the phenotypes were indeed segregating by paternal plant, seeds from single plants were harvested and analyzed at \( n = 4 \) per plant. Standard scores (z-scores) for the accumulation of each element were calculated using wild-type Williams-82 grown in the same field. Of the 22 putative mutant lines replanted and analyzed, nine (41%) showed a similar z-score profile to the original data and were significantly affected for all elements identified in the primary screen (Table 3; Fig. 4). Seven additional lines displayed differences for at least one, but not all, element identified as significant in the original screen. Overall, 16 lines (72%) appeared to be mutant in the second round of analysis, higher than observed in controlled environment screens of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. where Lahner et al. had 14% reproducibility (Lahner et al., 2003) and in *Lotus corniculatus* L. var. *japonicus* Regel [syn. *Lotus japonicus* (Regel) K. Larsen] where Chen et al. observed 26% with an additional generation where 17% replicated again (Chen and Boutros, 2011). Of the 22 putative mutants identified, eight displayed patterns suggesting that a mutation was segregating within the row although few showed segregation for all of the significantly different elements. Of these, only one was confirmed in the single plant regrow analysis (Fig. 4A). An additional line was confirmed as having a segregating phenotype for one of two elements. The other six were unable to be confirmed, some perhaps due to low numbers of plants analyzed but also suggesting that some of the segregating elements were false positives. Collectively, these results demonstrate the potential for using an ionomics approach to identify genetic mutants in soybean and the accuracy of visual assessment of z-score profiles for selection of mutants in element accumulation. The 16 confirmed mutant lines displayed a wide variety of elemental

**Table 1. Statistically significant putative mutants as determined by t test (studentized range statistic \([q] < 0.01\)).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Mg25†</th>
<th>P31</th>
<th>S34</th>
<th>K39</th>
<th>Co43</th>
<th>Mn55</th>
<th>Fe57</th>
<th>Ca60</th>
<th>Ni60</th>
<th>Cu65</th>
<th>Zn66</th>
<th>Se82</th>
<th>Rb85</th>
<th>Sr88</th>
<th>Mo98</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of putative mutant lines</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†The numbers following the elements are the isotope measured by the ion coupled plasma mass spectrometry for that element. Elemental concentrations are then calculated based on the natural abundance of that isotope.

**Table 2. Distribution of number of putative mutations per line determined by t test (studentized range statistic \([q] < 0.01\)).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of elements</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of putative mutant lines</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3. Number of putative mutants identified using each method (visual, t test, Zmax, Zsum) as determined by the sum of the z-scores for all of the elements measured (Zsum).** A four-set Venn diagram shows the intersections of the top 100 lines identified using the Zmax method (blue), top 100 lines identified using the Zsum method (green), lines identified by t test (studentized range statistic \([q] < 0.001\)) (purple), and lines chosen in the visual screen of 427 lines (yellow).
Table 3. Twenty-two putative mutants were selected visually based on standard score (z-score) profiles and regrown in the field to confirm the phenotype. Phenotypes that were confirmed in the regrow analysis are shown in italics. Lines that had some seeds exhibiting a mutant phenotype and some seeds exhibiting a wild-type phenotype were noted as segregating (seg).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Original phenotype</th>
<th>Regrow phenotype</th>
<th>No. of plants analyzed in regrow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19147</td>
<td>High S, Ca (seg), and Se (seg)</td>
<td>High S and Ca (seg)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19157</td>
<td>High K and low Ca</td>
<td>High K and Rb and low Ca</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19177</td>
<td>High Co and low Mn (seg)</td>
<td>High Co</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19190</td>
<td>Low Cu and high Fe (seg)</td>
<td>Low Cu</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19208</td>
<td>High Mg, S, and Sr and low Rb</td>
<td>High Co and low Ca</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19226</td>
<td>High Mg</td>
<td>High Mg</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19268</td>
<td>High Zn (seg)</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19220</td>
<td>High Co, Ni, Se, and Sr</td>
<td>High P (seg) and Ni and low Cu</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19350</td>
<td>High Ni</td>
<td>High Ni and Zn</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19370</td>
<td>Low P</td>
<td>Low P (seg)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19394</td>
<td>High Mo (seg) and low Co</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19400</td>
<td>High B, P, S, Ni, and Se and low K (seg)</td>
<td>High P and S and low K (seg)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19421</td>
<td>High Mg, Ca, and Sr</td>
<td>High Mg, Ca, and Sr</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19472</td>
<td>Low Ca</td>
<td>Low Ca</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19518</td>
<td>High Co and Ni and low S and Mn</td>
<td>High Co and Ni and low Fe</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19527</td>
<td>High Ni and P</td>
<td>High P and K and low Ca and Sr</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19528</td>
<td>High Rb and Sr</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19529</td>
<td>High P, K, Ni, and Zn and low Ca</td>
<td>High P, K, Ni, and Zn and low Ca</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20318</td>
<td>Low Ca</td>
<td>Low Ca</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20322</td>
<td>High S and low K (seg) and B</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20324</td>
<td>High P and S and low Se</td>
<td>High P</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20325</td>
<td>High Mg (seg) and Ca (seg)</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
profiles (Table 3) with differences in both macro- and micronutrients and both single and multi-element profiles. Visual inspection of the lines that replicated at the V6 to V7 stage revealed several lines with morphological abnormalities and chlorosis but also lines that looked phenotypically wild-type (Supplemental Fig. S1). For several plants, the seeds from pods were kept together, allowing us to determine the variability within and between pods for a single plant (Supplemental File S2).

**Screen Design Simulations**

Profiling mutants at \( n = 6 \) or \( n = 8 \) is a labor- and resource-intensive sampling scheme with 1000 lines requiring approximately 5.5 wk on the ionomics pipeline as currently configured. One way to reduce the number of samples required would be to profile a smaller number seeds per line and select a subset to run at higher depth based on the limited sampling, for example, using an \( n = 1 \) to 3 screen to identify the top 200 candidates, which would then be further screened to get to \( n = 6 \) for visual scoring of z-score plots. To test this proposed strategy, we simulated 100 datasets for \( n = 1 \) to 7 by randomly selecting samples from each line. The 113 putative mutants selected using the Zmax and Zsum methods were assumed to be our “true” positives. For each simulated dataset, we identified the putative top 200 mutants by taking the top 150 ranked lines identified by the Zmax method and the top 50 lines from the Zsum method that were not included in the Zmax list. Since the Zmax method produced more visually confirmed (by z-score) putative mutants, we believe that Zmax method should be prioritized when combining rankings. All of the simulation sets with \( n \geq 4 \) included an average of at least 94% of the 113 “true” positives found in the full dataset (Fig. 5). The percentage dropped significantly from \( n = 3 \) to \( n = 1 \), but the top 200 selected from the \( n = 2 \) screen still contained 75% of the 113.

**Discussion**

We have used ionomics to identify mutants with altered seed elemental composition from a population of 947 NMU mutagenized soybean families. Throughput and accuracy was increased by analyzing single whole seeds, as homogenizing samples by grinding seeds is labor intensive and has the potential to introduce elemental contaminants. Single seed analysis enabled us to develop a straightforward automated processing workflow for soybean ionomic profiling. This approach should be generally applicable to all large seeded species and therefore many crops. Due to the high throughput and lower cost associated with automation, analyzing multiple seeds allows for the estimation of the seed to seed variances, the detection of genetic segregation, and greater power to detect differences between genotypes than would be possible otherwise. To screen the thousands of seeds required for this project (and others), we developed a high throughput elemental profiling facility that includes a custom built weighing robot and an ICP-MS. To simplify the logistics of planting and harvesting the 18,000 to 20,000 field grown plants in the M\(_1\) plants, families were planted in rows and the rows were bulk harvested. In this design, 25% of the loci will be homozygous in all the maternal plants in a row and an additional 19% will be homozygous in approximately one-fourth of the plants in a row. The seed ionome is a summation of many plant processes, and most ionomics mutants identified to date are in genes that function in the root (Rus et al., 2006; Baxter et al., 2008, 2009; Chao et al., 2011). We therefore propose that the seed ionome is primarily indicating the phenotype of the maternal plant. The low variation within plant as compared to between plants (Table 3) is consistent with this hypothesis.

Given the number of segregating loci in each line and number of different independent mutagenized families that must be screened to discover mutants, it is inherently difficult to replicate plots to minimize spatial variation within the field. As most of the elemental content of the seed comes from the soil, ionomic phenotypes are likely to be particularly susceptible to spatial variation. In addition, the majority of mutant lines had no discernible effect on the ionome, allowing sibling families to be used as controls for the effect of position in the field on the ionome. To determine the affects of spatial variation on the ionome, we plotted the normalized levels of each element by the field location of the row, which in this case also plotted them in run order. We removed elements below the level of detection, prone to contamination, and prone to analytical interference from the dataset. To reduce the...
A large majority (77%) of the lines selected for regrowth had at least one element significantly different in the regrowth, 41% matching the phenotype from the preliminary screen well while 36% only partially matched the phenotype. The large number of lines that did not match the phenotype from the first round screen but were still significantly different in other elements is not surprising. Some ionomic phenotypes appear to be environmentally plastic, both csb1 and tsc10a in Arabidopsis thaliana displayed varying phenotypes when grown in different soil batches (http://www.ionomicshub.org/arabidopsis). The main reason for the higher confirmation rate than in previous studies of ionomic mutants (Lahner et al., 2003; Chen and Boutros, 2011) is likely that the selection of mutants is based on multiple samples and is therefore less sensitive to false positive selection of mutants among single outliers generated by biological or analytical variation. The 4% of the lines from the initial screen that confirmed after rescreen is consistent with the estimate of 2 to 4% of the genome having a strong effect on the ionome (Lahner et al., 2003).

The confirmation rate of the putative mutants identified in this screen indicates that the visual rating of z-score plots of n = 6 or more seeds is highly effective for identifying potential mutants. However, the number of samples required for a screen of large populations, such as the fast neutron population from the soybean mutagenesis project (Bolon et al., 2011), would be prohibitive. We reasoned that potential lines could be identified with a much lower n screen and then a subset with promising characteristics could be further profiled to get the replication necessary for visual screening. This design requires the matrix-matched control solution to link the samples from the prescreen to the follow-up screen for the combined z-score analysis. To test this, we used our data to evaluate in silico several scenarios with a lower n prescreen. The results demonstrate that while there might be lines with real phenotypes that do not make it through the prescreen, an n = 2 prescreen followed by an n = 4 follow-up (for a total of n = 6) of the top 200 ranked mutants would still allow for identification of 75% of the putative mutants. In the case of the population studied in this paper, this design would cut the number of samples in the primary screen in half allowing for the entire first round screen to be completed in less than a month. Losing 25% of the putative mutants may have limited consequences for the final confirmed data sets as the missing 25% are likely to come from the lower ranked mutants. As an illustrative example, assuming a 15,000 sample experiment with a true mutation rate of 5%: 2500 lines could be screened at n = 6 to identify putative mutants, approximately 125 of which would be confirmed mutants, or 5000 lines could be screened at n = 2 to identify 1250 lines for an additional n = 4 so putative mutants could be selected with n = 6 data. In the second scenario, even if only 75% of true 250 mutants were among the 1250 rescreened, an additional approximately 62 mutants would be identified.

Conclusions

We have demonstrated that high throughput elemental profiling approaches can be used to identify elemental composition mutants in soybean. Using a multistage process where few seeds from all lines are sampled followed by profiling...
of a relatively large subset at greater depth, a population of approximately 1000 lines can be screened in less than a month. The data and scripts from this manuscript are available on the Ionomics Hub File Transfer Page (http://www.ionomicshub.org/home/PiiMS/dataexchange).

Supplemental Information Available
This article includes supplemental information.

References